

## Kiers, Roger

---

**From:** Manning, Sandra L NWS [Sandra.L.Manning@usace.army.mil]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 25, 2011 12:30 PM  
**To:** Kiers, Roger; Rex Meyer; Cindy\_Hirsch@URSCorp.com; Stew Gloyd; Nathan Holth; henry@henrymatthews.com; kitty@historicbridgefoundation.com; Bob Peters; Chris Moore; Eric DeLony; bobjeannegeorge@comcast.net; Robert.W.HADLOW@odot.state.or.us; David Hansen; Robert H Krier; Sean Gaffney; Jeffrey Chusid; betsy\_merritt@nthp.org; brian\_turner@nthp.org  
**Cc:** Jenkins, Chris NWS; McCroskey, Lauren L NWS; Holstine, Craig; Sterner, Matthew (DAHP); Brooks, Allyson; Williams, Scott; Fuchs, Steve; Sawyer, Jeff  
**Subject:** RE: McMillin Bridge (UNCLASSIFIED)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

To Mr. Bob Krier, I wanted to respond to your concerns raised in the recent e-mail. Every e-mail response that is sent by the army has the required federal army "unclassified" statement. This in no way should imply that WSDOT and the Corps are withholding information from the public or the consulting parties. It is just a standard army requirement since The Corps Regulatory Branch is in the federal building that also houses the army.

Roger, thank you for your correct response below. I want to request that the consulting parties do not comment on this issue per Bob's request since it has nothing to do with the Section 106 review, and I do not want to cloud the important consultation discussion with issues that are not relevant. Sorry for the confusion on the standard army response, I hope this reply addresses your concerns.

-----Original Message-----

From: Kiers, Roger [<mailto:KiersRo@wsdot.wa.gov>]  
Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2011 12:03 PM  
To: Rex Meyer; [Cindy\\_Hirsch@URSCorp.com](mailto:Cindy_Hirsch@URSCorp.com); Stew Gloyd; Nathan Holth; [henry@henrymatthews.com](mailto:henry@henrymatthews.com); [kitty@historicbridgefoundation.com](mailto:kitty@historicbridgefoundation.com); Bob Peters; Chris Moore; Eric DeLony; [bobjeannegeorge@comcast.net](mailto:bobjeannegeorge@comcast.net); [Robert.W.HADLOW@odot.state.or.us](mailto:Robert.W.HADLOW@odot.state.or.us); David Hansen; Robert H Krier; Sean Gaffney; Jeffrey Chusid; [betsy\\_merritt@nthp.org](mailto:betsy_merritt@nthp.org); [brian\\_turner@nthp.org](mailto:brian_turner@nthp.org)  
Cc: Jenkins, Chris NWS; Manning, Sandra L NWS; McCroskey, Lauren L NWS; Holstine, Craig; Sterner, Matthew (DAHP); Brooks, Allyson; Williams, Scott; Fuchs, Steve; Sawyer, Jeff  
Subject: McMillin Bridge

Dear all,

I am attaching a recent email chain between Mr. Bob Krier, consulting party, and Ms. Sandra Manning, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, for your information.

Bob (and others who may have been wondering), the inclusion of the term 'unclassified' on emails from the Corps should not be taken to indicate that 'classified' discussions regarding the bridge are being held. Rather, labeling emails as either 'classified' or 'unclassified' is standard procedure for communications from Department of the Army personnel.

Also, here is a link to an article that appeared recently in the Tacoma Daily Index:

<http://www.tacomadailyindex.com/portals-code/list.cgi?paper=88&cat=23&id=1971>

849&more=0

Sincerely,

Roger Kiers

Cultural Resources Specialist - Archaeologist

WSDOT Environmental Services Office

PO Box 47332, Olympia, WA 98504-7332

Office: 360-570-6638

Cell: 360-485-7255

Work schedule: M-Th 7:30-5:00, Fri 7:30-4:00 (off biweekly)

<ftp://ftp.wsdot.wa.gov/public/McMillin/>

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE